



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,366	04/09/2004	Dale A. Panasewicz	ABCT 2 00003	1209
27885	7590 02/09/2006		EXAMINER	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
	EVELAND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3612	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/821,366	PANASEWICZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hilary Gutman	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De	<u>ecember 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	,—				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,8,14,15,19,21 and	4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,8,14,15,19,21 and 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,11-13,16-18 and 20</u> is/are re	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,11-13,16-18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate satent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3612

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 5, 8, 14-15, 19, and 21-22 are hereby withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 7/28/05.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 10, "releasable connected" should be "releasably connected". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3612

5. Claims 1-4, 6-7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, there is an inconsistency between the language in the preamble which sets forth ("an adjustable storage unit for a vehicle comprising:") that the claim is directed to a subcombination and line 2 reciting a combination in that the frame system is "fastened" to a wall of the vehicle, thereby making the scope of the claim indefinite and unclear. Applicant is required to clarify what subject matter the claim is intended to be drawn to, i.e., combination or subcombination, and to amend the language of the claim to be consistent with this intent (such as modifying "fastened" to "fastenable" or "capable of being fastened"). For the purport of treating the claims based upon prior art, the claims have been treated as subcombination claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/821,366

Art Unit: 3612

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 1-3, 7, 9, 11-13, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heneveld, Sr. in view of Olerio.

Heneveld, Sr. (5,762,213) discloses the claimed invention except for a shelf and end panels formed from a polymer material.

Olerio (5,988,476) teaches a polymer formed rack system including a polymer shelf of polypropylene.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the shelf and end panels of Heneveld, Sr. out of a polymer material as taught by Olerio in order to allow the end panels and shelf to be strong and lightweight.

It should be noted for claim 18 that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

9. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heneveld, Sr. in view of Olerio and Clegg.

Heneveld, Sr. (5,762,213) discloses the claimed invention except for a shelf formed from a polymer material.

Olerio (5,988,476) teaches a polymer formed rack system including a polymer shelf of polypropylene.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the shelf and end panels of Heneveld, Sr. out of a polymer material as taught by Olerio in order to allow the shelf to be strong and lightweight.

Heneveld, Sr., as modified, lacks the end panels being formed from a composite material.

Clegg (6,520,514) teaches a rack system including a housing with end panels and shelves. The housing and shelving can be formed from durable plastic materials or metal. The plastic materials are selected from the group of nylons, polyethylene, polypropylenes, polyurethanes, and combinations thereof. Therefore the housing and shelves can be formed of a composite material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the end panels of Heneveld, Sr., as modified, out of a composite material as taught by Clegg in order to allow the end panels to be durable.

10. Claims 11 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heneveld, Sr. in view of Clegg.

Heneveld, Sr. (5,762,213) discloses the claimed invention except for a shelf and end panels formed from a composite material.

Clegg (6,520,514) teaches a rack system including a housing with end panels and shelves. The housing and shelving can be formed from durable plastic materials or metal. The plastic materials are selected from the group of nylons, polyethylene, polypropylenes, polyurethanes, and combinations thereof. Therefore the housing and shelves can be formed of a composite material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the shelf and end panels of Heneveld, Sr. out of a composite material as taught by Clegg in order to allow the end panels and shelf to be durable.

11. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heneveld, Sr. in view of Clegg and Lara.

Heneveld, Sr. (5,762,213) discloses the claimed invention except for a shelf and end panels formed from a polymer material.

Olerio (5,988,476) teaches a polymer formed rack system including a polymer shelf of polypropylene.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the shelf and end panels of Heneveld, Sr. out of a polymer material as taught by Olerio in order to allow the end panels and shelf to be strong and lightweight.

Heneveld, Sr., as modified, lacks a bowed front edge that extends beyond the vertical plane of the front edge of the end panels.

Lara (D426,728) teaches a design of a rack wherein the shelves are bowed outward such that a bowed front edge of each of the shelves extends beyond the vertical plane of a front edge of each of the end panels (see Figures 2-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the front edges of the shelves of Heneveld, Sr., as modified, to be bowed outward as taught by Lara in order to improve the design features and aesthetics of the storage unit.

Art Unit: 3612

### Allowable Subject Matter

12. The indicated allowability of claims 4, 6-7, 12, and 16-22 is withdrawn in view of the new rejection set forth above.

- 13. Claims 23 is allowed.
- 14. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 571-272-6662.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 571-272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hilary Gutman

January 31, 2006